CITY OF FORT MITCHELL, KENTUCKY CITY COUNCIL MEETING COUNCIL CHAMBER 2355 DIXIE HIGHWAY, FORT MITCHELL, KY 41017 June 21, 2021, 6:30 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Mayor Jude Hehman, called the regular council meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT

Present: Mayor Jude Hehman, Mary Burns, Vicki Boerger, Kim Nachazel, Greg Pohlgeers, Alyson Roeding and Michael Stoeckle. Absent: Jerry Deatherage

OTHERS PRESENT

City Administrator, Edwin King; City Clerk/Treasurer, Amy Guenther; Police Chief, Andrew Schierberg; Public Works Director, Matt Stegman; Fire Chief, Adam Fuller and Programs Coordinator, Joe Christofield; and Attorney, Mary Ann Stewart

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Mayor Jude Hehman asked if there were any changes to the agenda. No changes were noted. Mr. Pohlgeers made a motion to approve the agenda. Ms. Roeding seconded the motion. After asking for any discussion and hearing none, Mayor Jude Hehman called for a voice vote. The motion carried with all ayes.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Mayor Jude Hehman asked if there were any changes to the June 7, 2021 minutes. No changes were noted. Mr. Stoeckle made a motion to approve the minutes of the June 7, 2021 council meeting. Ms. Boerger seconded the motion. After asking for discussion and hearing none, Mayor Jude Hehman called for a voice vote. The motion carried with all ayes.

CITIZENS AND GUESTS

Mayor Hehman asked if there were any citizens or guests that wished to speak. No one requested to speak.

PARAMEDIC RECOGNITION AWARD

St. Elizabeth Healthcare representative, Betty McGee, stated that the American Heart Association and the American Stroke Association started a Target Stroke Initiative to identify stroke signs, communicate and deliver to the hospital quickly. Sean Callero and Heather Ladanyi were thanked and awarded a Certificate for the fastest door to needle delivery in February of a stroke victim. Chief Fuller stated that excellent service is a result of moving from a volunteer department to a paid full-time Fire and EMS department.

PUBLIC HEARING: MUNICIPAL ROAD AID

Mayor Hehman opened the Municipal Road Aid public hearing. Mr. Stegman stated that the Municipal Road Aid received from the state budgeted expenses include equipment rental, materials, road salt and contractor fees to maintain roads. Monies were budgeted for the Beechwood Road safety project, Beechwood sidewalk construction from Grandview to Fort Mitchell Place and the Bromley-Crescent Springs Road project in conjunction with Kenton County, the State and surrounding cities. The Mayor asked if there were any questions or comments. Hearing none, **Ms. Boerger made a motion** to close the Municipal Road Aid public hearing and Ms. Burns seconded the motion. Mayor Hehman called for a voice vote and the motion passed with all ayes.

ARGUMENT-TYPE HEARING ON PC2104-0002 MAP

Ms. Roeding made a motion to go into an Argumentative Hearing regarding 260 Grandview Drive. Mr. Stoeckle seconded the motion. The Mayor called for a voice vote and the motion passed with all ayes.

See transcript for detailed discussion.

- **Ms. Boerger made a motion to** override Kenton County Planning Commission's favorable recommendation for the proposed map amendment to the Fort Mitchell Zoning ordinance from RC1, (single family residential zone) and PO (professional office building) to R2 (multifamily residential zone) at 260 Grandview Drive, an area of approximately 12.19 acres located on the east side of Grandview Drive, east of Beechwood Road in Fort Mitchell. Ms. Boerger's request for denial was based upon Kenton County Planning Commission administrative records for open hearing which was dated May 6th, 2021. Ms. Boerger's findings in support overriding the Kenton County Planning Commission recommendation were stated as follows:
- 1. Kenton County Planning Commission held an open house in a formal public hearing in 2018 allowing for input on Direction 2030 and changes or recommendations were made to City of Fort Mitchell identified as commercial use for the land.
- 2. The City of Fort Mitchell is in the process of finalizing Z21 zoning modernization, which included study and deliberation of this site and current plans do not zone this site as multifamily residential.
- 3. Although the proposed development purports to be senior housing, there is no zoning mechanism available to ensure that the development will remain senior housing to the needs identified in Direction 2030.
- 4. Although the once in a century COVID global pandemic has temporarily impacted office space needs, it has not ended the need for office space. It has merely reduced it by 15% and the demand for office space is anticipated to return to pre-pandemic levels by the year 2025.
- 5. The most important point is that PDS staff recommended denial to change. This change from the Commission went against staff recommendation.
- Ms. Roeding seconded the motion. Mayor Hehman called for a roll call vote.

Mr. PohlgeersYesMs. RoedingYesMr. StoeckleYesMs. NachazelYesMs. BurnsYesMr. DeatherageAbsent

Ms. Boerger

Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Boerger made a motion to come out of the hearing and Ms. Burns seconded the motion. Mayor Hehman called for a voice vote and the motion passed with all ayes.

CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Ms. Stewart provided a second reading of Ordinance 2021-02: Amending the Annual Budget of the City for Fiscal Year July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 and Directing the Ordinance to be Published in Summary. Ms. Guenther stated that Medicare EMS Run revenue increased and a related APA tax increased the fire departments budget by \$4,500. Legal ads increased \$1,300. Mayor Hehman asked if there were any questions. Hearing none, Mr. Pohlgeers made a motion to approve Ordinance 2021-02. Mr. Stoeckle seconded the motion. Mayor Hehman call for a roll call vote.

Mr. Pohlgeers	Yes	Ms. Roeding	Yes
Mr. Stoeckle	Yes	Ms. Nachazel	Yes
Ms. Burns	Yes	Mr. Deatherage	Absent
Ms. Boerger	Yes		

Ms. Stewart provided a second reading of Ordinance 2021-03: Adopting the Annual Budget of the City for Fiscal Year July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 and Directing the Ordinance to be Published in Summary. Ms. Guenther stated that miscellaneous expense was increased by \$12,000 for the APA tax and the related EMS revenue was also increased. Mayor Hehman asked if there were any questions. Hearing none, Ms. Roeding made a motion to approve Ordinance 2021-03. Ms. Boerger seconded the motion. Mayor Hehman call for a roll call vote.

Mr. Pohlgeers	Yes	Ms. Roeding	Yes
Mr. Stoeckle	Yes	Ms. Nachazel	Yes
Ms. Burns	Yes	Mr. Deatherage	Absent
Ms. Boerger	Yes		

Ms. Stewart performed a first reading of **Ordinance 2021-04: Amending Ordinance 2020-02: Salary Scales** to be published in summary. Mr. Pohlgeers stated that we have catch up payrates to the surrounding market and include inflation increases.

Ms. Stewart performed a first reading of Ordinance 2021-05: Creating a New Chapter to Prohibit Certain Discriminatory Practices Within the City. Ms. Stewart stated that this takes protections that are codified in federal and state law like race, gender, religion and extends it to other classifications such as sexual orientation, family status and gender identity. These are not suspect classification for federal court but have been recognized by many lower courts. This provides mainly housing protections and other protections that fall within the Kentucky Human Rights Commission. Other governments within the area have adopted this fairness ordinance. Ms. Nachazel and Ms. Burns stated that residents have reached out about this ordinance and support this ordinance.

MAYOR'S REPORT

General Ormsby Park is closed for renovation. Some Ash trees were removed.

- Park Equipment was surplused for \$11,000 and removed by the buyer.
- Plans for the Drawbridge area are being finalized.

CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

- The City will not be receiving grant funds from AARP for the park renovation due to the high volume of applicants.
- The City applied for the OKI grant for Beechwood Road. The City has confirmed with OKI that there will be no penalty if the City withdrawals from the grant process. The safety committee will be seeking feedback from the residents on June 23rd. Chief Schierberg hand delivered flyers to the Beechwood Rd. residents from Dixie Highway to Page to notify them of the meeting.

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS

- Mr. Christofield stated that he will be emailing City Council to decorate the float.
- Ms. Burns stated that birds are dying so it is being recommended to take down bird feeders.

DEPARTMENT HEADS REPORTS-None

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Pohlgeers made a motion to adjourn the City Council Meeting and Mr. Stoeckle seconded the motion. Mayor Hehman asked if there was any discussion or questions and hearing none, Mayor Jude Hehman called for a voice vote. The motion carried unanimously.

Jude Hehman, Mayor

Prepared By:

Amy K.D.

Amy Guenther, City Clerk/Treasurer

CITY OF FORT MITCHELL, KENTUCKY CITY COUNCIL MEETING 2355 DIXIE HIGHWAY, FORT MITCHELL, KY 41017 COUNCIL CHAMBERS JUNE 21, 2021

Argument-Type Hearing on PC2104-0002 Map Amendment

Appearances:

Mayor Jude Hehman

Amy Guenther - City Clerk/Treasurer

Edwin King - City Administrator

Mike Stoeckle - Council Member

Greg Pohlgeers - Council Member

Kim Nachazel - Council Member

Mary Burns - Council Member

Alyson Roeding - Council Member

Vicki Boerger - Council Member

Mary Ann Stewart, Esq.

Page 2 1 **PROCEEDINGS** *** 2 3 MAYOR HEHMAN: The next will be an argumentative hearing regarding 260 Grandview Drive. 4 Council, I need a motion to go into such hearing. 5 6 MS. ROEDING: Motion. 7 MAYOR HEHMAN: Ms. Boerger, or was 8 that Roeding? 9 MS. ROEDING: Me. 10 MAYOR HEHMAN: I'm sorry. 11 Ms. Roeding. 12 MR. STOECKLE: Second it. 13 MAYOR HEHMAN: Second, Mr. Stoeckle. 14 All in favor? 15 ALL COUNCIL: Aye. 16 MAYOR HEHMAN: Anyone opposed? 17 Call this meeting to order for the 18 hearing regarding 260 Grandview Drive. 19 Ms. Stewart, Mary Ann Stewart is 20 I should have introduced her earlier as our city attorney. Mary Ann, will you advise what 21 22 exactly this means tonight? 23 MS. STEWART: Sure, Mayor. 24 First of all, my name is Mary Ann 25 I am not Claire Parsons, despite the fact Stewart.

- 1 that it says Claire Parsons. Ms. Parsons is the
- 2 city attorney for Fort Mitchell but is out of town
- 3 this week so I'm covering for Ms. Parsons.
- 4 This is scheduled as an
- 5 argument-style hearing under the standards set by
- 6 the Kentucky Supreme Court under the City of
- 7 Louisville v. McDonald case, per that -- that
- 8 holding, the City can hold an argument-style hearing
- 9 based upon the evidentiary record that was already
- 10 compiled at the evidentiary hearing by the Kenton
- 11 County Planning Commission. Council's review is
- 12 limited to the administrative record only and the
- 13 evidence that was adduced at that evidentiary
- 14 hearing.
- The applicant and anyone else is
- 16 entitled to address the Council through
- 17 argument-style -- through arguments, however, those
- 18 arguments must be based upon the evidence that was
- 19 adduced at the evidentiary hearing, or that is found
- 20 in the administrative record that has been forwarded
- 21 to the City Council. City Council has received the
- 22 administrative record from the Planning Commission
- 23 and has reviewed that administrative record in full.
- 24 At this point, it would be
- 25 appropriate -- I think we do have members for the

- 1 applicant who are ready to address the Council and
- 2 Mr. Mayor.
- MAYOR HEHMAN: Council then will ask
- 4 the applicant or the developer, and then we'll open
- 5 it up for any questions. And then anyone that would
- 6 be arguing against there, that we would open that up
- 7 for the general public in doing that.
- 8 So at this point, is there someone
- 9 that represents --
- MR. ASHBURNER: Yes.
- 11 MAYOR HEHMAN: And if you'd just come
- 12 up and state your name and who you are and who you
- 13 represent.
- MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you, Mr. Mayor,
- 15 members of the Council. My name is Cliff Ashburner,
- 16 I'm a lawyer with Dinsmore & Shohl out of our
- 17 Louisville office, it's 101 S. 5th Street, 40202.
- MS. STEWART: And also, we have a
- 19 court reporter here to transcribe the hearing so if
- 20 you could spell --
- MR. ASHBURNER: Do you want me to
- 22 turn this on or just speak up?
- MS. STEWART: Is it on?
- 24 MAYOR HEHMAN: It's probably on but
- 25 it doesn't really -- it's not a speaker.

1 MR. ASHBURNER: I think you might be

- 2 able to hear me.
- 3 COURT REPORTER: If you'll just keep
- 4 your voice up for me. Thanks.
- 5 MR. ASHBURNER: It's Cliff Ashburner,
- 6 it's A-S-H-B-U-R-N-E-R, and I'm here tonight on
- 7 behalf of Wota Group, that's the parent company for
- 8 the applicant. I also have Tammy Stansberry with
- 9 me, with Wota, and Mike Kegley, who's the property
- 10 owner. If it's appropriate, to the extent that you
- 11 have questions dealing with Mr. Kegley's ownership
- or the property, or Wota's operations and experience
- in other locations, I think they'd be happy to
- 14 answer those questions. There was testimony about
- 15 that in the record.
- 16 MS. STEWART: Well, we can't put in
- 17 additional testimony, other than what was presented
- 18 at the Planning Commission. So if you want to
- 19 proceed with your argument, then if Council has
- 20 questions, either you can answer those questions, or
- 21 if you want to have your client answer the
- 22 questions, that would be fine.
- 23 MR. ASHBURNER: That's fine. Thank
- 24 you.
- Well, again, I appreciate the

- 1 opportunity to address you all tonight regarding the
- 2 property on Grandview. This is -- well, this is a
- 3 portion of the property that was previously rezoned
- 4 for professional office. Obviously, the application
- 5 we're talking about tonight is to rezone from PO to
- 6 R2, which would allow for multifamily.
- 7 The proposal -- or the previously
- 8 approved development had multiple buildings,
- 9 multiple office condominium buildings. One of those
- 10 buildings was built. And that building was built
- 11 more than 10 years ago. Since that time, there have
- 12 been continuous efforts to market that property as
- 13 office. Those efforts have not been successful.
- Now we have a new different proposal
- 15 to use the rear of the property as senior housing.
- 16 So this senior housing would be 88 units. It would
- 17 be restricted to senior citizens. That restriction,
- 18 as was stated in the Planning Commission record
- 19 recommendation, would last at least 30 years. That
- 20 was one of the bases for the recommendation. The
- 21 proposal would have, as I said, 88 units in a
- 22 midrise building that would be located behind the
- 23 existing office condominium building that's located
- 24 on the subject property.
- Just to remind the Council, we had a

- 1 favorable recommendation from the Planning
- 2 Commission. There were several conditions that were
- 3 attached to that recommendation. And on behalf of
- 4 my client, we are agreeable to all of those
- 5 conditions as they are written. So we recognize
- 6 that certain things will have to be dealt with with
- 7 the site, lighting, things like that. Things that
- 8 you would consider sort of development details after
- 9 the zone change decision is made, and we are more
- 10 than happy to agree to those conditions tonight.
- 11 Unless there are questions, I think
- 12 I'm going to rely primarily on the record that was
- 13 created before the Planning Commission, and the
- 14 recommendation that was forwarded to you from the
- 15 Planning Commission.
- 16 One thing to note, there was only one
- 17 party other than our team who spoke during the
- 18 hearing, it was Mr. King, who spoke as a neutral
- 19 party about some other economic development efforts
- 20 that are in the area. Ultimately, the Planning
- 21 Commission determined that senior housing was
- 22 appropriate for this site, and that's the basis for
- 23 its recommendation.
- 24 So I'm happy to take any questions if
- 25 you have them. I think there are a lot of positives

1 to the proposal. There is certainly a demand in

- 2 this area and in lots and lots of parts of our
- 3 Commonwealth for senior housing, especially the kind
- 4 that Wota provides.
- With that, I'm happy to take any
- 6 questions.
- 7 MAYOR HEHMAN: Are there questions
- 8 from Council pertaining to this?
- 9 All right. Thank you.
- 10 MR. ASHBURNER: Thank you very much.
- 11 MAYOR HEHMAN: I'd open the floor up
- 12 for anyone that would also like to address Council
- 13 arguing against or for.
- 14 All right. Hearing none.
- Any other comments from Council?
- 16 We'll close the hearing in a minute here, but is
- 17 there any comments or discussion?
- MS. NACHAZEL: I have a question.
- 19 Are we allowed to hear Edwin's neutral argument, or
- 20 I guess that wouldn't be an argument, but what was
- 21 discussed from your perspective that night?
- 22 MR. KING: Yeah. My comments that
- 23 evening were primarily about the Z21 process. So
- 24 we've been working diligently, obviously even before
- 25 I got here, the committee was working diligently on

- 1 the Z21 modernization.
- 2 As part of that modernization, one of
- 3 the things that I noted in the hearing was that we
- 4 did not have that recommended as residential in the
- 5 Z21 process, and that was primarily the bulk of my
- 6 comments.
- 7 I did make mention of some of the --
- 8 you know, as part of the overall planning, you know,
- 9 we're trying to attract, you know, businesses in the
- 10 city. Council recently passed the tax incentive
- 11 that hopefully will yield to new business here in
- 12 the city. So I made mention of that briefly. But
- 13 my primary comment was about the Z21 process that
- 14 we're going through now.
- 15 MS. NACHAZEL: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 MAYOR HEHMAN: Mary Ann, what are our
- 17 options then tonight? Because I think we can
- 18 approve or go along with PDS, right?
- MS. STEWART: Right.
- 20 MAYOR HEHMAN: And we can wait it
- 21 out. I mean, if we don't do anything, it goes
- 22 towards what their recommendation is?
- MS. STEWART: Right.
- 24 MAYOR HEHMAN: Or we can oppose it if
- 25 that's --

1 MS. STEWART: Right. So basically

- 2 the process for the City, once the Planning
- 3 Commission sends their recommendation to the City
- 4 Council, it's a recommendation. If the City Council
- 5 takes no action in -- 90 days from when they receive
- 6 the recommendation, if you take no action
- 7 whatsoever, the zone change becomes operative as a
- 8 matter of law. Or, if you're taking action tonight
- 9 by having a hearing, the options are as follows:
- 10 You can make a motion to uphold the Planning
- 11 Commission's recommendation and to adopt their
- 12 findings as your own.
- 13 You can make a motion to uphold the
- 14 recommendation of the Planning Commission and make
- 15 separate findings based upon the administrative
- 16 record.
- Or you can make a motion to override
- 18 the recommendation of the Planning Commission, but
- 19 if you do that, you must state separate findings of
- 20 fact that are found in the administrative record
- 21 that you've already received. And then, of course,
- 22 the argument that you've heard tonight. Those are
- 23 the options before the Council.
- 24 MAYOR HEHMAN: Mary Ann, do we do
- 25 that now or do we come outside of the hearing?

1 MS. STEWART: At this point, it would

- 2 be appropriate for a motion within the hearing.
- 3 Whatever the Council -- now, the other caution that
- 4 I would raise is that the mayor has no vote in this
- 5 process. The mayor has no input into the process.
- 6 The mayor merely presides over the hearing itself,
- 7 the argument-style hearing, but does not have any
- 8 say in the zone change because it's a decision by
- 9 the legislative body only.
- 10 And if the vote is to override, the
- 11 vote must be by a majority of the body, of the
- 12 legislative body. If there's a tie vote, you have
- 13 not overridden and, therefore, the recommendation of
- 14 the Planning Commission would become operative.
- Any other questions on the process?
- 16 MS. BOERGER: I would like to make a
- 17 motion. The motion is to override Kenton Planning
- 18 Commission's favorable recommendation for a proposed
- 19 map amendment to the Fort Mitchell zoning ordinance
- 20 from RC1, which is single-family residential zone,
- 21 and PO, professional office building zone, to R2,
- 22 which is multifamily residential zone at 260
- 23 Grandview Drive, an area of approximately
- 24 12.19 acres located at the east side of Grandview
- 25 Drive, east of Beechwood Road in Fort Mitchell.

```
1 My request for denial is based upon
```

- 2 Kenton County Planning Commission's administrative
- 3 record from their open hearing, which was dated
- 4 May 6, 2021.
- 5 My findings in support of overriding
- 6 the Kenton County Planning Commission's
- 7 recommendations are as follows:
- No. 1, The Kenton County Planning
- 9 Commission held an open house and a formal public
- 10 hearing in 2018 allowing for input on Direction 2030
- 11 and changes or recommendations were made to the City
- 12 of Fort Mitchell identified as commercial use for
- 13 the land.
- No. 2, the City of Fort Mitchell is
- 15 in the process of finalizing it's Z21 zoning
- 16 modernization, which has included study and
- 17 deliberation of this site, and current plans do not
- 18 zone this site as multifamily residential.
- The third point is although the
- 20 proposed development purports to be one for senior
- 21 housing, there is no zoning mechanism available to
- 22 ensure that the development will remain senior
- 23 housing to meet the needs as identified in Direction
- 24 2030.
- No. 4, although the once in a century

- 1 Covid global pandemic has temporarily impacted
- 2 office space needs, it has not ended the need for
- 3 office space. It has merely reduced it by
- 4 15 percent, and the demand for office space is
- 5 anticipated to return to pre-pandemic levels by the
- 6 year 2025.
- 7 And my fifth and most important is
- 8 that PDS staff recommended denial to change -- you
- 9 know, for this change, and the Commission went
- 10 against staff recommendation and approved the change
- 11 request. So that is my motion on the table.
- MAYOR HEHMAN: Ms. Boerger, has a
- 13 motion to deny the request.
- 14 Is there a second?
- MS. ROEDING: I second.
- MAYOR HEHMAN: Ms. Roeding.
- We have a first and we have a second.
- 18 Is there any comments, questions?
- Amy, would you do roll?
- MS. GUENTHER: Ms. Boerger?
- MS. BOERGER: Yes.
- MS. GUENTHER: Ms. Roeding?
- MS. ROEDING: Yes.
- MS. GUENTHER: Ms. Burns?
- MS. BURNS: Yes.

Page 14 1 MS. GUENTHER: Mr. Polhgeers? 2 MR. POHLGEERS: Yes. 3 MS. GUENTHER: Mr. Stoeckle? MR. STOECKLE: Yes. 5 Ms. Nachazel? MS. GUENTHER: MS. NACHAZEL: Yes. MAYOR HEHMAN: Motion carries. 7 8 We'll need to go out of the hearing, 9 correct? 10 MS. STEWART: Yes. You need a motion 11 to come out of the hearing. 12 MAYOR HEHMAN: Motion to come out of 13 such hearing? 14 MS. BOERGER: Motion. 15 MAYOR HEHMAN: Ms. Boerger. MS. BURNS: Second. 16 Second, Ms. Burns. 17 MAYOR HEHMAN: All in favor? 18 19 ALL COUNCIL: Aye. 20 MAYOR HEHMAN: The hearing is 21 adjourned at this point. 22 Mr. Kegley, being the landowner, obviously, Council made their stance tonight. But, 23 24 you know, I would like to offer a hand to you, as 25 through the process, the Z21 process, that we are

```
Page 15
 1
     very active on, you know, to what the best use for
 2
     your land and have you involved with this, because I
 3
     really think that's important to this Council, and
 4
     it's certainly important to me.
 5
                     MR. KEGLEY:
                                  Thank you.
                                      I would second that.
 6
                     MR. POHLGEERS:
 7
     I think anything we can do to assist you in
     developing this property, whether it be tax
 8
 9
     incentives or whatnot in regards to however the Z21,
     once that's approved in regards to commercial
10
11
     property, I think we'd be open to that as well.
12
                     MR. KEGLEY: All right. Thank you.
13
                     MAYOR HEHMAN:
                                    Thank you.
14
15
16
17
              (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 6:55 P.M.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 16
 1
                               )
 2
     STATE OF KENTUCKY
 3
 5
                I, Kelly A. Steidle, the undersigned
 6
 7
     Notary Public-Court Reporter for the Commonwealth of
     Kentucky, do hereby certify that at the time and
 8
 9
     place stated herein, I recorded into stenotype and
     thereafter transcribed into typewriting the within
10
     15 pages and the foregoing is a true, complete and
11
12
     accurate report of my said stenotype notes.
13
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have subscribed my
14
     name and affixed my seal this 24th day of June,
15
     2021.
16
17
18
19
20
21
                         Kelly Steidle Brabender
                         Notary Public #KYNP12186
22
                         My Commission expires: 9/28/2024
23
24
25
```